1	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CASBN 44332) United States Attorney  BRIAN J. STRETCH (CSBN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division  MATTHEW A. PARRELLA (NYSBN 2040855) JEFFREY D. NEDROW (CASBN 161299)	
2		
3		
4		
5	JEFFREY R. FINIGAN (CASBN 168285) Assistant United States Attorneys	
6		
7	450 Golden Gate Avenue	
8	San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7232	
9	Facsimile: (415) 436-7234 Email: jeffrey.finigan@usdoj.gov  Attorneys for Plaintiff	
10		
11		
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
14	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
15		
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Criminal No. CR 06-0725 SI
16	Plaintiff,	) )
17		) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
18	V.	ORDER EXCLUDING TIME )
19	TREVOR GRAHAM,	) )
20	Defendant.	) )
21		ý
22		
23	The above-captioned matter came before the Court on February 1, 2008, for status. The	
24	defendant was represented by William Keane, Esq., and the government was represented by	
25	Jeffrey Finigan, Assistant United States Attorney. The Court set a briefing schedule for a defense	
26	discovery motion and confirmed the May 19, 2208, trial date. The defense discovery motion is to	
27	be filed on February 8, 2008.	
28	The Court made a finding that the time from and including February 1, 2008, through STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME CR 06-0725 SI	

4

10

23

24 25

26

27

28

February 8, 2008, should be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A), because the ends of justice served by taking such action outweighed the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The finding was based on the need for the defendant to have reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and for continuity of counsel pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).

The parties agree and stipulate that the additional time is appropriate and necessary under Title 18, United States Code, § 3161(h)(8)(A), because the ends of justice served by this continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. This time exclusion will allow defense counsel to effectively prepare, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and will provide for continuity of counsel for the defendant.

The parties further agree and stipulate that this time exclusion is in addition to the time exclusion ordered by the Court on December 14, 2007, at which time the Court excluded time for the same reasons set forth herein from and including December 14, 2007, through and including the trial date of May 19, 2008. The parties include this additional acknowledgment because they did not execute a written stipulation to this effect following the December 14, 2007, hearing.

DATED: February 4, 2008

Counsel for Trevor Graham

DATED: February 4, 2008

JEFFREY R. FINIGAN Assistant U.S. Attorney

So ordered.

DATED:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE